UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/541,651	03/09/2006	Thomas Corbett	ARD128USA	3443
<sup>24339</sup> JOEL D. SKIN	7590 07/31/200°		EXAMINER	
SKINNER AN	D ASSOCIATES		BERRY, WILLIE WENDELL JR	
212 COMMERCIAL ST. HUDSON, WI 54016			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3643	
-			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/31/2007	· PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/541,651	CORBETT, THOMAS	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Willie W. Berry, Jr.	3643	

	Willie W. Berry, Jr.	3643	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED 12 July 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI			
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, at tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ng date of the final reject	ion.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing displayed.	of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final Off	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of th	hs of the date of ne appeal. Since
<ul> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ul>	nsideration and/or search (see NC		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	,	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	, ,,		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	llowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected to Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 3-7.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	, , , , , ,	in condition for allowa	ince because:
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13.  Other:	(P1O/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	BAICE	1. CARONE	
S. Patent and Trademark Office	SUPERVISO	EXAMPLE EXAMINE	INIED
	the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Part of Pa	INEH aper No. 20070725

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The movable rope and anchorage points associated with the brackets has not been previously considered...

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Peleg does not disclose height adjustment in situ. The examiner disagrees because there is nothing in Peleg, which states that the invention has to be taken apart in order to adjust the height of the leg portions. Applicant argues that there is no reason to combine Durham with Peleg. The examiner disagrees for the reasons stated in his final rejection and because the suggested combination would only make Peleg's cover more secure. In regard to applicant's argument that the rope anchorage points be on the bracket, as mentioned above this feature has not been considered because it requires further consideration.